

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

INVENTOR HOLDINGS, LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	C.A. No. 14-1073-LPS-CJB
v.)	
)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
SUPERCCELL, INC.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

**DEFENDANT SUPERCCELL INC.’S NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL
AUTHORITY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO DISMISS**

Defendant Supercell Inc. (“Supercell”) respectfully submits the decision attached as Exhibit A hereto as supplemental authority in support of its position that this Court should dismiss Inventor Holdings’ complaint in the above-captioned action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) on the ground that U.S. Patent No. 8,784,198 claims patent-ineligible subject matter under 35 U.S.C. § 101. *See* Supercell Mobile’s Motion to Dismiss (D.I. 10). On June 11, 2015, in a precedential opinion, the Federal Circuit affirmed a District Court’s grant of a motion to dismiss finding that a patent relating to price optimization in an e-commerce environment does not claim patentable subject matter under 35 U.S.C. § 101. *OIP Technologies, Inc. v. Amazon.com, Inc.*, slip op., Case No. 2012-1696 (Fed. Cir. Jun. 11, 2015). Accordingly, Supercell respectfully requests that the Court consider this decision in assessing its motion to dismiss.

Respectfully submitted,

POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

OF COUNSEL:

Michael J. Sacksteder
Lauren E. Whittemore
Hana K. Andersen
FENWICK & WEST LLP
555 California Street
San Francisco, CA 94104
Tel: (415) 875-2300

Carolyn C. Chang
FENWICK & WEST LLP
801 California Street
Mountain View, CA 94041
Tel: (650) 988-8500

Dated: June 15, 2015
1192825 / 41853

By: /s/ David E. Moore
Richard L. Horwitz (#2246)
David E. Moore (#3983)
Bindu A. Palapura (#5370)
Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor
1313 N. Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
Tel: (302) 984-6000
rhorwitz@potteranderson.com
dmoore@potteranderson.com
bpalapura@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Defendant Supercell, Inc.